

Exact Non-Abelian Duality

Stephen Hewson*

&

Malcolm Perry†

D.A.M.T.P.

University of Cambridge

Silver Street

Cambridge CB3 9EW

U.K.

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We investigate non-abelian gaugings of WZNW models. When the gauged group is semisimple we are able to present exact formulae for the dual conformal field theory, for all values of the level k . The results are then applied to non-abelian target space duality in string theory, showing that the standard formulae are quantum mechanically well defined in the low energy limit if the gauged group is semisimple.

*email sfh10@damtp.cam.ac.uk

†email malcolm@damtp.cam.ac.uk

1 Introduction

One of the properties displayed by string theories which does not have a point particle analogue is that of target space duality, or T-duality. T-duality provides a method, valid to all orders in perturbation theory, of relating seemingly inequivalent string vacua. In the standard form, T-duality may be used to give a dual description to any string theory where the background target space has a group of isometries. In the case where the group of isometries is abelian the theory is well understood [1] and it is accepted that the T-duality is a true symmetry of the string theory: when quantum effects are taken into consideration, solutions which are dual to each other are to be identified in the string moduli space. It is possible to generalise the procedure to the case where the symmetry group is *non-abelian*[2, 3, 4]. There is a problem with this generalisation however: although it is possible to generate new dual solutions of the low energy classical string theory, the question remains as to whether the solutions generated are truly equivalent from a quantum mechanical point of view.

All the work to date in this area showed that if one took a consistent set of low energy background fields, then the duality transformation yields, in certain situations, another set of low energy background fields. Specifically, when the gauged subgroup is semisimple, the new modes satisfy the first order beta-function equations [3].

All this shows is that a low energy background will produce another low energy background. If we wish to consider non-abelian duality to be an exact quantum mechanical symmetry of the perturbative theory, as in the case of abelian T-duality [1], then we require that it form a mapping from an *exact* conformal string background to another exact background.

If it were the case that the dual models were quantum mechanically equivalent then we would need to identify the original and dual solutions in the string moduli space. This would give non-abelian duality the same standing as abelian duality, and would, of course, further the search for equivalent string vacua.

We now address this problem and seek to implement the duality procedure exactly at the level of the underlying conformal field theory. After dualising we can take the low energy limit, to give us the correct low energy theory.

If the non-abelian duality procedure is well defined quantum mechanically then the order of the limits should not matter. If the procedure is not well defined then we will obtain anomaly terms which are not present for the classical duality procedure.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{CFT} & \xrightarrow{\text{limit}} & \text{Low energy effective action} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Exact dual} & & \text{Anomalies?} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Dual CFT} & \xrightarrow{\text{limit}} & \text{Dual low energy effective action}
\end{array} \tag{1.1}$$

Since target space duality is, by definition, implemented at the level of the σ -model we consider the exact result obtained under the duality procedure by investigating WZNW models. These models are exact conformal field theories and the target spaces they may be thought of as representing are exact string backgrounds. We show that we can find an explicit form of the exact dual of an exact string solution in the case when the symmetry group is semisimple. The formulae obtained reduce to the original target space duality equations in the low energy field theoretic limit, which implies that the original duality equations [2, 3] are well defined quantum mechanically if we consider gauging a semisimple isometry.

2 String σ -models

We define the string theory via the conformally invariant non-linear σ -model:

$$S_{nlsm} = \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2\xi \left(\sqrt{\gamma} \gamma^{ab} g_{MN}(X) \partial_a X^M \partial_b X^N + \epsilon^{ab} B_{MN}(X) \partial_a X^M \partial_b X^N \right) \tag{2.1}$$

where $M, N \dots$ run from $1 \dots D$, $a, b \dots$ run over 0 and 1, with $\epsilon^{01} = 1$ and ξ^a are the string worldsheet coordinates. The spacetime coordinates are denoted by X^M and γ is the induced metric on Σ , the string worldsheet. The background spacetime metric and antisymmetric tensor fields in which the string propagates are denoted by g and B respectively.

In bosonic string theory there is an additional massless mode to consider, namely the dilaton, Φ . This gives a contribution to the action of the form

$$S_{dil} = -\frac{1}{8\pi} \int d^2\xi \sqrt{\gamma} R^{(2)} \Phi(X), \tag{2.2}$$

where $R^{(2)}$ is the scalar curvature of the metric γ . This term breaks Weyl invariance on a classical level as do the one loop corrections to g and B . Given a set of background fields we find that conformal invariance is restored to first order in α' provided that the string β -function equations are satisfied [5]

$$R_{MN} - \nabla_M \nabla_N \Phi - \frac{1}{4} H_M^{LP} H_{NLP} = 0 \quad (2.3)$$

$$\nabla_L H^L_{MN} + (\nabla_L \Phi) H^L_{MN} = 0 \quad (2.4)$$

$$R - \frac{D - 26 + c}{3\alpha'} - (\nabla \Phi)^2 - 2\Box \Phi - \frac{1}{12} H_{MNP} H^{MNP} = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

for $H = 3dB$, c is a central charge and D is the dimension of the target space.

If the fields represent an exact conformal field theory there are no higher order corrections to the beta functions. This would be the case for exact string vacua, such as those for plane waves. It is these conformal field theories that we wish to consider. After the manipulations have been performed exactly, it is then possible to find the true low energy limit of the dual theory.

3 Gauged $WZNW$ models

3.1 $WZNW$ models

One of the simplest ways to construct a conformal field theory is to consider a $WZNW$ model for a group G [6, 7, 8]. If the group G has a subgroup H then a new model can be constructed by gauging the H symmetry of the σ -model. This is the basic idea of T-duality.

We consider the $WZNW$ action for a group G with subgroup H and elements $g(z, \bar{z}) \in G$

$$\begin{aligned} I(g) &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial B} d^2z \, Tr \left(g^{-1} \partial g \cdot g^{-1} \bar{\partial} g \right) - \frac{1}{12\pi} \int_B dV \, Tr \left(g^{-1} dg \wedge g^{-1} dg \wedge g^{-1} dg \right) \\ S(g) &= kI(g), \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where d is the exterior derivative operator, k is an integer called the *level* of the model, V is the volume element for B , and the boundary of B , ∂B , is the flat 2D string worldsheet Σ . The second term in the action is called the Wess-Zumino-Novikov-Witten term and is an integral over any three-manifold with boundary ∂B . The group

element g is extended to be a map from B to ∂B . We employ complex worldsheet coordinates $z, \bar{z}$

$$z = \frac{\xi_1 + i\xi_2}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \bar{z} = \frac{\xi_1 - i\xi_2}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (3.2)$$

The model is manifestly invariant under a global $G_R \times G_L$ group of transformations, and the coefficients of the WZNW term have been chosen such that the equations of motion

$$\partial(\bar{\partial}g.g^{-1}) = \bar{\partial}(g^{-1}\partial g) = 0 \quad (3.3)$$

hold [6]. This only occurs at a fixed point in the renormalisation group flow for the case of arbitrary coupling of the WZNW term to the action (3.1), and implies that the group elements are separable into holomorphic and anti-holomorphic parts

$$g = h(z)f(\bar{z}). \quad (3.4)$$

The model then has the property, called the ‘Polyakov-Wiegmann Theorem’ [9], that

$$I(gh) = I(g) + I(h) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial B} d^2z \operatorname{Tr} (g^{-1}\partial g.\bar{\partial}hh^{-1}). \quad (3.5)$$

If G has a subgroup H then the H symmetry can be gauged using this property to give a new model [10]. Before performing the gauging procedure, we need to investigate carefully the quantum mechanical properties of the action. In order to define the quantum effective action we perform a Legendre transformation [11]. The result is that the classical action is equivalent to the quantum action if we make the transformation of the level k as follows

$$k \rightarrow k + \frac{1}{2}c_G, \quad (3.6)$$

where c_G is the dual Coxeter number of the defining group G . This is given by

$$c_G = f_a^{bc} f_{bc}^a, \quad (3.7)$$

where the f_a^{bc} are the structure constants of the Lie algebra of the group G , the indices of which are raised and lowered using the Cartan metric η^{ab} on G^1 . This result may also be obtained from a current algebra point of view where it can be shown that the Sugawara energy momentum tensor has the renormalisation factor [12] arising from the normal ordering ordering of the currents, denoted by $\circ$

$$T(z) = \frac{1}{k + \frac{1}{2}c_G} \circ J(z)^2 \circ \quad (3.8)$$

¹Clearly, in the abelian case, this quantum mechanical shift vanishes and the transformation is trivial.

Thus in order to obtain the consistent quantum mechanical action we must alter the level of the $WZNW$ model by $\frac{1}{2}c_G$. This means that the target space metric is given by the group space metric multiplied by $k + \frac{1}{2}c_G$. Although this is a trivial observation for the case of a standard $WZNW$ model defined by a group G , the shift enters in a non-trivial way for a gauged model, as we shall see in the next section.

3.2 Vector gauging of the σ -model

We now gauge the H symmetry from the action (3.1). Under a general H gauging we have

$$\begin{aligned} g &\rightarrow u_L g v_R \\ u_L, v_R &\in H, \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

If H is abelian then both the axial-vector and the vector gaugings are anomaly free [13]. For the case where H is a non-abelian group only the vector gauge is believed to be anomaly free. We thus wish to consider actions which are invariant under the vector gauging, which corresponds to the case $u_L = v_R^{-1}$

$$g \rightarrow u(z, \bar{z}) g u^{-1}(z, \bar{z}). \tag{3.10}$$

Substituting these transformations into (3.1) and using the identity (3.5) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} I(g, A, \bar{A}) &= I(g) + \int d^2 z \operatorname{Tr} \left(A \bar{\partial} g g^{-1} - \bar{A} g^{-1} \partial g + A \bar{A} - g^{-1} A g \bar{A} \right) \\ &= I(g) + I_1(g, A, \bar{A}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

with appropriate gauge fixing for g . In order to leave the dynamics unchanged we may add a Lagrange multiplier term to each side of this equation:

$$I_L = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2 z \operatorname{Tr} (\chi F), \tag{3.12}$$

where χ is the Lagrange multiplier term and the field strength of the gauge field is given by

$$F = \partial \bar{A} - \bar{\partial} A + [A, \bar{A}]. \tag{3.13}$$

The equations of motion for χ imply a vanishing F , and we may thus parametrise the gauge fields by

$$A = h^{-1}\partial h \quad \bar{A} = \bar{h}^{-1}\bar{\partial}\bar{h} \quad u = h\bar{h}. \quad (3.14)$$

The action $S(g, A, \bar{A}) = kI(g, A, \bar{A})$ is then invariant under the vector gauge transformations

$$\begin{aligned} g &\rightarrow ugu^{-1} \\ A &\rightarrow u(A + \partial)u^{-1} \\ \bar{A} &\rightarrow u(\bar{A} + \bar{\partial})u^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

3.3 Quantum effective action

We now consider the quantum mechanics of the gauged WZNW model, neglecting for the moment the Lagrange multiplier term, with bare k . This is defined by the path integral

$$Z = \int [dg][dA][d\bar{A}] \exp\left(-kI(g) - kI_1(g, A, \bar{A})\right), \quad (3.16)$$

The measures given are the gauge fixed group invariant canonical measures.

From the previous discussion we must shift the level k in order to have a quantum mechanically consistent action. To attempt to do this we must try to rewrite the gauged action (3.11) in terms of $WZNW$ models.

We note that the identity (3.5) gives us

$$I(g, A) = I(h^{-1}g\bar{h}) - I(h^{-1}\bar{h}) \quad (3.17)$$

thus, changing variables in the path integral using the identity

$$\det(\partial + [A, \cdot]) \det(\partial + [\bar{A}, \cdot]) = \exp\left(c_H I(h^{-1}\bar{h})\right) \det \partial \det \bar{\partial} \quad (3.18)$$

and substituting (3.17) we obtain the new, equivalent, path integral

$$Z = \int [dg][dh][d\bar{h}] \exp\left[-kI(h^{-1}g\bar{h}) + (k + c_H)I(h^{-1}\bar{h})\right]. \quad (3.19)$$

This implies that the system can be quantised as the sum of two separate $WZNW$ models. Since $h^{-1}G\bar{h} = G$ and $h^{-1}H = H$, we see that the first term in (3.19) is a

$WZNW$ model for group G with level k and the second term is a $WZNW$ model for the group H with level $-(k + c_H)$.

We now use the result that we must shift k by half the dual Coxeter number of the group in question. This gives us the effective quantum action

$$\Gamma(g, A)_{h, \bar{h}} = (k + \frac{1}{2}c_G)I(h^{-1}g\bar{h}) - (k + \frac{1}{2}c_H)I(h^{-1}\bar{h}). \quad (3.20)$$

Performing the reverse change of variables and rewriting the action in terms of $A, \bar{A}$ we arrive at the true quantum mechanically consistent path integral

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int [dg][dA][d\bar{A}] \exp(-\Gamma(g, A, \bar{A})) \\ \Gamma(g, A, \bar{A}) &= (k + \frac{1}{2}c_G) \left(I(g, A) + \frac{c_G + c_H}{2(k + \frac{1}{2}c_G)} I(h^{-1}\bar{h}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

For large k the first part of this action is the dominant term and represents the low energy part of the action. The second term becomes important at small k and is the quantum correction to the classical action.

3.4 The dual model

The dual model is obtained by integrating out the $A, \bar{A}$ dependence from the path integral (3.21). This is done using Gaussian path integral techniques. We write the components of the gauge field as $A = A_a T^a$ for T^a the generators of the subgroup H in the adjoint representation. Rearranging the terms in the action and using the identity (3.17) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(g, A, \bar{A}) &= (k + \frac{1}{2}c_G) \left(I(g) + I_L + \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2z \operatorname{Tr}(M_1 + M_2 + M_3) \right) \\ M_1 &= A_a T^a \bar{\partial} g g^{-1} - \bar{A}_b T^b g^{-1} \partial g - A_a \bar{A}_b g^{-1} T^a g T^b \\ M_2 &= \left(1 - \frac{c_G + c_H}{2(k + \frac{1}{2}c_G)} \right) A_a \bar{A}_b T^a T^b \\ M_3 &= \left(\frac{c_G + c_H}{2(k + \frac{1}{2}c_G)} \left(I(h^{-1}) + I(\bar{h}) \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

We consider the dual description of the Lagrange multiplier term I_L obtained by integrating by parts. This removes the derivatives of A and introduces derivatives of

χ into the action

$$I_L = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2z \text{Tr} \left(-\bar{A}^a \partial \chi_a + A^a \bar{\partial} \chi_a + A_c \bar{A}_d f_a^{cd} \chi^a \right), \quad (3.23)$$

where $A = A_a T^a$, $\chi = \chi_a T^a$ for T^a the generators of the subgroup H in the adjoint representation.

The terms I_L , M_1 and M_2 are now each local quadratic in A , $\bar{A}$, and the gauge field dependence can be integrated out using results for Gaussian integrals, giving the contribution to the path integral

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int [dg] \exp(-\hat{S}) \\ &= \int [dg] \exp \left(-\left(k + \frac{1}{2}c_G\right) \hat{I}(g, T^a) + J \right) \\ \hat{I}(g) &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2z \left(\bar{\partial} \chi^a + \text{Tr} \left(T^a \bar{\partial} g g^{-1} \right) \right) (\Lambda)_{ab}^{-1} \left(-\partial \chi^b + \text{Tr} \left(T^b g^{-1} \partial g \right) \right) \\ \Lambda_{ab} &= \chi_a f^a_{bc} + \text{Tr} \left(\omega(k) T_a T_b - T_a g T_b g^{-1} \right) \\ \omega(k) &= 1 - \frac{c_G + c_H}{2(k + \frac{1}{2}c_G)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

J is an anomalous Fadeev-Popov determinant factor obtained when integrating out the gauge field, which can be evaluated using standard regularisation techniques and is given by [14]

$$J = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int d^2z R^{(2)} \log(\det \Lambda). \quad (3.25)$$

From the path integral 3.24 we can read off the contributions from I_L , M_1 and M_2 to the new dual action $\hat{S}$.

This is the form of the action obtained under the usual non-abelian duality procedure [3] if we take the large k limit. It is not necessarily an exact expression because we must consider the effect of the anomalous term M_3 , which may not vanish, even in the low energy limit. Although the term is cancelled out in the classical form of the action, it survives in the quantum action due to the shift in the Coxeter numbers for the case of a non-abelian group. We discuss the effects of this term in the next section.

3.5 Anomaly term

We must now discuss the term M_3 , which is the obstacle to integrating out the gauge field A completely. We will show that if the gauged subgroup is semisimple, then we

may integrate out the gauge field dependence from M_3 , enabling us to give the dual solution for any k .

To begin with we define [11]

$$F' := \bar{\partial}A + \partial\bar{A} \quad (3.26)$$

and consider

$$F' \frac{1}{\bar{\partial}\partial} F' = \bar{\partial}A \frac{1}{\partial} A + \partial\bar{A} \frac{1}{\bar{\partial}} \bar{A} + \partial\bar{A} \frac{1}{\partial} A + \bar{\partial}A \frac{1}{\bar{\partial}} \bar{A}, \quad (3.27)$$

where the inverse $\frac{1}{\bar{\partial}}$ is the Greens function for the ∂ operator. Using the cyclic property of Tr , and implicit integrations throughout we find that

$$\int d^2z Tr \left(F' \frac{1}{\bar{\partial}\partial} F' + 2A\bar{A} \right) = - \int d^2z Tr \left(A \frac{\bar{\partial}}{\partial} A + \bar{A} \frac{\partial}{\bar{\partial}} \bar{A} \right) \quad (3.28)$$

We now relate this to the anomalous term M_3 , which is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} M_3 &\propto I(h^{-1}) + I(\bar{h}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial B} d^2z Tr \left(h^{-1} \partial h . h^{-1} \bar{\partial} h + \bar{h}^{-1} \partial \bar{h} . \bar{h}^{-1} \bar{\partial} \bar{h} \right) \\ &\quad + \text{WZ term} . \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

We attempt to write this integral in terms of the field F' . First we see that

$$\begin{aligned} A \frac{\bar{\partial}}{\partial} A &= (h^{-1} \partial h) \frac{\bar{\partial}}{\partial} (h^{-1} \partial h) \\ &= (h^{-1} \partial h) \frac{1}{\partial} (\bar{\partial} h^{-1} . \partial h + h^{-1} \bar{\partial} \partial h) \\ &= (h^{-1} \partial h) \frac{1}{\partial} (\partial (h^{-1} \bar{\partial} h) + [\bar{\partial} h^{-1} . h, h^{-1} \partial h]) \\ &= h^{-1} \partial h (h^{-1} \bar{\partial} h + J) \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

where

$$J = \frac{1}{\partial} ([h^{-1} \partial h, h^{-1} \bar{\partial} h]) . \quad (3.31)$$

We note that there are no surface terms because ∂B , being the boundary of B , has no boundary, hence

$$h^{-1} \partial h . h^{-1} \bar{\partial} h = A \frac{\bar{\partial}}{\partial} A - h^{-1} \partial h . J \quad (3.32)$$

Repeating the procedure for the boundary term in 3.29 we obtain

$$-A\frac{\bar{\partial}}{\partial}A - \bar{A}\frac{\partial}{\bar{\partial}}\bar{A} = \partial h^{-1}.\bar{\partial}h + \bar{\partial}\bar{h}^{-1}\partial\bar{h} - K - \bar{K}, \quad (3.33)$$

where we have defined

$$\begin{aligned} K &= (h^{-1}\partial h) J \\ \bar{K} &= (\bar{h}^{-1}\bar{\partial}\bar{h}) \bar{J}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

Clearly in the abelian case the non-local terms $J, \bar{J}$ vanish. For the non-abelian case the commutator will be in general non-zero. We look for special cases where the integrals may be evaluated.

Substituting in the expression for M_3 , 3.29, we obtain

$$I(h^{-1}) + I(\bar{h}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial B} d^2z \text{Tr} \left(-2A\bar{A} - F' \frac{1}{\bar{\partial}\partial} F' - (K + \bar{K}) \right) + (WZ) \quad (3.35)$$

We now consider the parameterisation of the group elements. The generators of the Lie algebra obey

$$A = A_a T^a : [T^a, T^b] = f_c^{ab} T^c \quad (3.36)$$

$$\text{Tr}(T^a T^b) = 2\eta^{ab}, \quad (3.37)$$

where η^{ab} is the Cartan matrix for the group, which is used to raise group indices. This implies that the group elements are locally given by

$$h = \exp A_a(z, \bar{z}) T^a \quad \bar{h} = \exp \bar{A}_a(z, \bar{z}) \bar{T}^a \quad (3.38)$$

The bar denotes complex conjugate and we treat z and $\bar{z}$ as independent variables on the two-sphere. This implies that

$$[h^{-1}\partial h, h^{-1}\bar{\partial}h] = \partial A_a \bar{\partial} A_b f_c^{ab} T^c \quad (3.39)$$

Expressions of this form will hold in any local neighbourhood on the group. This then leads to the term J being of the form

$$J = \frac{1}{\partial} (f_c^{ab} T^c \partial A_a \bar{\partial} A_b) \quad (3.40)$$

$$= f_c^{ab} T^c \int dz' G_z(z, z') \partial A_a(z', \bar{z}) \bar{\partial} A_b(z', \bar{z}) \quad (3.41)$$

$$= f_c^{ab} T^c \Lambda_{ab}, \quad (3.42)$$

for some functions Λ_{ab} .

The contribution to $I(h)$ from J is now of the form

$$I_J \propto \int_{\partial B} d^2 z \text{Tr} \left(\partial A_d T^d f_c^{ab} T^c \Lambda_{ab} \right) \quad (3.43)$$

Applying the normalisation condition $\text{Tr}(T^a T^b) = 2\eta^{ab}$ for the generators of the Lie algebra we obtain

$$I_J \propto \int_{\partial B} d^2 z \left(\partial A_d \eta^{cd} f_c^{ab} \Lambda_{ab} \right) \quad (3.44)$$

$$= \int_{\partial B} d^2 z \left(f^{dab} \partial A_d \Lambda_{ab} \right). \quad (3.45)$$

Recall that we define $f^{dab} = \eta^{dc} f_c^{ab}$.

In general this expression cannot be simplified, so we restrict ourselves to the special case where the coefficient of the WZ functional is chosen as in 3.1 to make the equations of motion hold. These equations of motion imply that the group elements are separable in terms of the worldsheet coordinates,

$$h(z, \bar{z}) = p(z)q(\bar{z}) \quad (3.46)$$

$$\Rightarrow h = \exp(P_a(z)T^a) \exp(Q_b(\bar{z})T^b) \quad (3.47)$$

$$\Rightarrow h^{-1} \partial h = q^{-1} \partial P_a T^a q \quad (3.48)$$

$$h^{-1} \bar{\partial} h = \bar{\partial} Q_a T^a \quad (3.49)$$

We then obtain the contribution to I of the form

$$I_J \propto \int_{\partial B} d^2 z \text{Tr} \left(q^{-1} P_d T^d q \frac{1}{\partial} \left([q^{-1} P_a T^a q, Q_a T^a] \right) \right) \quad (3.50)$$

Of course, the group elements p and q commute with the products $P_a T^a$ and $Q_a T^a$ respectively, being polynomials in these terms. Making use of this fact, and using the trace in the integral, we obtain

$$I_J \propto \int_{\partial B} d^2 z \text{Tr} \left(\partial P_d T^d \frac{1}{\partial} \left([\partial P_a T^a, \bar{\partial} Q_b T^b] \right) \right) \quad (3.51)$$

$$= \int_{\partial B} d^2 z \text{Tr} \left(\partial P_d T^d \bar{\partial} Q_b f_c^{ab} T^c \frac{1}{\partial} (\partial P_a) \right) \quad (3.52)$$

We now take the trace over the two remaining matrix elements to obtain

$$I_J \propto \int_{\partial B} d^2 z \left(\partial P_d \bar{\partial} Q_b f^{dab} \frac{1}{\partial} (\partial P_a) \right) \quad (3.53)$$

$$= 2 \int_{\partial B} d^2 z \left(\partial P_d \bar{\partial} Q_b P_a f^{dab} \right) \quad (3.54)$$

$$= 0, \quad (3.55)$$

by integration by parts.

We now need to consider the Wess Zumino terms in 3.29. These are

$$\begin{aligned}
WZ &\propto \int_B d^3z \text{Tr} \left(h^{-1}dh \wedge h^{-1}dh \wedge h^{-1}dh - \bar{h}^{-1}d\bar{h} \wedge \bar{h}^{-1}d\bar{h} \wedge \bar{h}^{-1}d\bar{h} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial B} d^2z \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu A_a \partial_\nu A_b b^{ab} - (A \leftrightarrow \bar{A}) \\
&= 0 \\
\iff T^a T^b T^c &\equiv H^{abc} = \frac{1}{2} (db)^{abc} \\
\iff f^{abc} &= f^{[abc]}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.56}$$

We now make use of the fact that in the adjoint representation, the structure constants are completely anti-symmetric for all compact, *semisimple* Lie algebras in an orthonormal basis[16]. We thus have the vanishing of the extra anomalous WZ term in the cases where the gauged subgroup H is one of $SO(N)$, $SU(N)$, $Sp(N)$, E_6 , E_7 , E_8 , F_4 , G_2 .

Recall that we are trying to simplify the term 3.35. The final part to consider is the F' term. To complete the analysis we note that we are free to pick a gauge for the problem.

$$F' = \partial \bar{A} + \bar{\partial} A \tag{3.57}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F'_{gauged} &= \partial(A + \bar{\partial}\Lambda) + \bar{\partial}(A + \partial\Lambda) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{3.58}$$

$$\iff 2\partial\bar{\partial}\Lambda = \partial\bar{A} + \bar{\partial}A. \tag{3.59}$$

The equation $\partial\bar{\partial}\Lambda = S$ has a solution if

$$\int_{\partial B} S = 0, \tag{3.60}$$

This is identically true for $S = \partial\bar{A} + \bar{\partial}A$, therefore we may always choose a gauge to set the F' term to zero.

We now have the result that for a semi-simple (or abelian) Lie group, the anomalous term M_3 can be reduced to a term involving only $A, \bar{A}$, which can be simply integrated out of the path integral.

$$I(h^{-1}) + I(\bar{h}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial B} d^2z \text{Tr} \left(-2A\bar{A} \right) \tag{3.61}$$

This term simply alters the premultiplying factor in M_2 , doubling the k -dependent term. The final action is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{dual}(g) &= I(g) + \left(\frac{k + \frac{1}{2}c_G}{4\pi} \int d^2z \left(\bar{\partial}\chi^a + Tr \left(T^a \bar{\partial} g g^{-1} \right) \right) (\Lambda)_{ab}^{-1} \left(-\partial\chi^b + Tr \left(T^b g^{-1} \partial g \right) \right) \right) - J \\
\Lambda_{ab} &= \chi_a f^a_{bc} + Tr \left(\omega(k) T_a T_b - T_a g T_b g^{-1} \right) \\
J &= \frac{1}{8\pi} \int d^2z R^{(2)} \log(\det \Lambda)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.62}$$

$$\omega(k) = 1 - \frac{c_G + c_H}{\left(k + \frac{1}{2}c_G\right)}, \tag{3.63}$$

The resulting action, which is valid for the gauging of any semi-simple or abelian subgroup, can be interpreted as the effective quantum action and is exact for all k . The gauged action is therefore conformally invariant to all loop orders.

3.6 Quantum Corrections to the gauged theory

Taking into account the quantum properties of the dual action we find that there are two different anomalies which occur under the gauging procedure.

- Under the gauging procedure we saw that there was a gauge fixing anomaly term which arises in the Fadeev-Popov determinant in the path integral. This term is proportional to the scalar curvature of the worldsheet of the form (2.2) and spoils the conformal invariance of the σ -model at any energy scale. We may not, therefore, neglect this term. We see, however, that the anomaly contribution is of exactly the same form as the dilaton coupling term in the string theory (2.2) and we can therefore counteract the effect of the gauge fixing by introducing a dilaton term into the action, or we can consider the transformation to induce a dilaton shift. This causes the anomaly to vanish, and the original and dual string theories are equivalent.
- The level k enters into the expressions for the dual model 3.62 in a non-trivial way. These k dependences are higher order quantum corrections to the dual action. In the case where the gauged group is semisimple as k becomes very large, corresponding to a low energy string in the given background, we may neglect the higher order terms in $\frac{1}{k}$. The quantum corrections vanish and we are left with the classical expression for the gauged theory. We may therefore neglect the k dependence at low energies in these circumstances.

3.7 Application to string theory

We can interpret the previous results in terms of string theories by treating the boundary ∂B of the Wess-Zumino term as the string worldsheet. Since the $WZNW$ model is a bosonic, massless theory for the choice of constants we have used, it is consistent to consider only the bosonic, massless degrees of freedom of the string: the metric, the antisymmetric tensor field and the dilaton. We can then interpret the group space metric of the $WZNW$ model to be the spacetime metric g and the WZ term to be the antisymmetric tensor field B . There is no dilaton term for the ungauged $WZNW$ model. The level k can be associated with the free parameter of string theory. Since string theorists work with the inverse string tension we relabel $k \rightarrow \frac{1}{\alpha'}$ for convenience obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int d^2z Q_{NM} \partial X^N \bar{\partial} X^M \\ Q &:= g + B. \end{aligned} \tag{3.64}$$

Under the duality procedure (gauging a subgroup of the symmetry and then integrating out the gauge fields $A, \bar{A}$) we obtain the action for the dual $WZNW$ model, S_{dual} , 3.62 from which we can read off the dual string modes, provided that the gauged subgroup is semisimple. This differs from the standard non-abelian duality formula by the k dependance in the $\omega(k)$ term

$$\omega(k) = 1 - \frac{c_G + c_H}{(k + \frac{1}{2}c_G)}. \tag{3.65}$$

As we take large k we note that $\omega(k) \rightarrow 1$, and the expression 3.62 reduces to the usual non-abelian duality formulae. Thus, in the low energy limit the result is the same as that obtained via the usual non-abelian target space duality procedure. The work explains the result [3] that for low energy string theory conformal invariance may be restored in the dual model by a shift in the dilaton in the case that the gauged subgroup is semisimple. In the non-semisimple case the effect from the non-local anomaly term does not necessarily vanish for large k and thus the expressions for the new fields may depend non-trivially on k , even at low energy. In these situations the dual model does not have a background spacetime interpretation. Thus there is no dual string background which satisfies the string β function equations. This behaviour

had been observed when the string T-duality equations were applied to models with non-semisimple structure constants [3].

4 Conclusion

We have discussed non-abelian gaugings of WZNW models. We are able to present exact dual models for arbitrary values of the level k in the case where the gauged group is semisimple.

If we interpret the models in terms of string theories then in the large k limit, corresponding to small string tension, we reproduce the standard non-abelian duality formulae with an additional k -dependant anomaly term. This term can be shown to vanish in the low energy limit in the semisimple case. In these situations this shows that the standard string non-abelian target space duality is well defined quantum mechanically.

If the gauged subgroup is not semisimple then the anomaly term does not necessarily become negligible at large k , and is not of the form of the dilaton factor. In this situation, although we may construct the dual model, the resulting CFT does not have a low energy background spacetime interpretation. Thus the string duality formalism breaks down.

To conclude, we have shown that in the semisimple case non-abelian target space duality is a perturbatively exact quantum symmetry of the string theory. As such, its role in string theory must be given serious consideration.

References

- [1] A. Giveon, M. Porrati and E. Rabinovici, Phys Rep. **244** (1994) 77
- [2] X.C de la Ossa and F. Quevedo, Nucl. Phys. **B403** (1993) 377
- [3] E. Tyurin *On the Conformal Properties of the Dualized Sigma-Models*, hep-th/9411242
- [4] C. Klimčík and P. Ševera *Dual non-abelian Duality and the Drinfeld Double* Phys.Lett.B351:455-462,1995
- [5] C. Callan, D. Friedan, E. Martinec and M. Perry *Strings in Background Fields*, Nucl. Phys.**B262**, 593 (1985)
- [6] E. Witten *Non-Abelian Bosonization in Two Dimensions* Commun. Math. Phys 92, 455-472 (1984)
- [7] I. Jack, D.R.T. Jones, N. Mohammedi and H. Osborn *Gauging the General σ -Model With a Wess-Zumino Term*, Nucl. Phys. **B332** (1990) 239-379
- [8] E. Álvarez, L. Álvarez-Gaumé, Y. Lozano
Nucl. Phys.**B424**, 155-183 (1994)
- [9] A. Polyakov and P.Wiegmann, Phys. Lett. **B131** (1984) 121
- [10] E. Witten *String Theory and Black Holes*, Phys. Rev **D44** (1991) 314
- [11] A. Tseytlin *Effective Action of the Gauged WZNW Model and Exact String Solutions* Nucl. Phys. **B399** (1993) 601-622
- [12] V.G. Knizhnik and A.B. Zamolodchikov Nucl. Phys. **B247** (1984) 83-103
- [13] E.B. Kiritsis, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A6** (1991) 2871
- [14] T. Buscher, Phys. Lett. **194B** (1987) 59
- [15] F. Quevedo, *Abelian and Non-Abelian Dualities in String Backgrounds*, hep-th/9305055
- [16] J.F. Cornwell, *Group Theory in Physics, vol 2* Academic Press, 1984